Magnetic Bloch Analysis and Bochner-Laplacians

J. Asch, H. Over*, R. Seiler

Technische Universität Berlin, MA 7-1, Straße des 17. Juni 136, D-1000 Berlin 12

* Fritz Haber Institut, Faradayweg 4-6, D-1000 Berlin 33

Abstract

Hamiltonians for a particle on a manifold in a magnetic field are constructed as Bochner-Laplacians. We show for the case of a torus and a given magnetic field that they are in one to one correspondence with the constituents in the Bloch decomposition of the unique Hamiltonian on the universal covering.

Introduction

We consider a Schrödinger Hamiltonian on a Riemannian manifold with magnetic field and its relation to the corresponding Hamiltonian on the universal covering manifold. This problem is motivated by and our results may be useful for some questions around models for the Quantum-Hall-Effect [TKNN], [A-S-Y], [A-K-P-S]. We review first the well known geometrical construction of the Hilbert space of quantum mechanical states and the Hamiltonian of the system for magnetic fields with integral flux. In this setting the Hilbert space consists of L^2-sections in a hermitian line bundle with connection over the manifold of configurations; curvature of the connection is the magnetic field, and dynamics is generated by the Bochner-Laplacian. The construction is not unique if the manifold is not simply connected. The family of hermitian line bundles with connection (Hilbert spaces and Hamiltonians) is parametrized by Aharonov-Bohm like fluxes through the "holes" of the manifold, mathematically: by certain cohomology groups.

This geometric description is known. It has been used by physicists since it was pointed out by Wu and Yang [W-Y] who worked out the case of the sphere (the Dirac monopole). Our aim here is to emphasize the aspect of non-uniqueness which is much less discussed in the physics literature. We use explicitly methods of differential and algebraic geometry and to relate the non-

MSC 1991: 58G40, 81S10, 20C35, 35Q40

uniqueness to the Bloch decomposition of the corresponding setting on the covering space. This program is worked out in detail for the case of the two dimensional torus. In particular we show that the Bloch decomposition of the Hilbert space of a particle moving in the two plane leads to a Hilbert bundle over the Brillouin zone (or in more general terms: the Jacobi torus), whose fibre operators are in one to one correspondence with the family of Bochner Laplacians arising in the geometrical construction. Geometrically speaking we show that the summation over all non-equivalent Hamiltonians on the torus gives the Hamiltonian on its universal cover.

Quantization of a particle on a Riemannian manifold in the presence of a magnetic field is technically prequantization in the terminology of "Geometric Quantization" [K], [Wo]. In this context it is a known technique to start the quantization procedure on the covering space and then to "push it down" to the original manifold. This method has recently been used in [AdPW] for quantization of Chern-Simons Gauge Theory. It might be useful to comment on some similarities and differences between our article and the one just mentioned. Here we start with a Riemannian manifold with integral two form **b** and classify first all possible hermitian line bundles with connection and curvature **b** (Theorem 2). After that all compatible connections for a given hermitian line bundle with curvature **b** are classified (Theorem 3). In the article of Axelrod et al. the prequantum line bundle is given at the outset and quantization is discussed in terms of all possible complex structures. Here the manifold is configuration space. There it is phase space.

Integrality conditions for integrated curvature (magnetic fields) have a long history. In the physics literature it starts with Dirac´s article [D]; in mathematics it appears in our context in [W] and goes back to the Gauss Bonnet theorem. Extensions of Dirac Quantization to Yang-Mills and Wess-Zumino models using modern concepts are presented in [A]. Bochner Laplacians on manifolds are of course the object of many articles. Let us just mention the recent [Ku] where the spectrum is related to the closed orbits of the corresponding classical dynamics.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 1 we recall the geometric description of the Quantum mechanics; section 2 contains the explicit calculation for the torus example; in section 3 the Bloch analysis for periodic magnetic fields is carried out.

1. Quantum Mechanics on a manifold with magnetic field

We recall the well known geometric method for constructing the Hilbert space and the Hamiltonian of a system under the influence of a magnetic field. Let the configuration space be an oriented Riemannian manifold M and the magnetic field be given by a closed real two form **b** on M.

If $\mathbf{b} = d\mathbf{a}$ the Hamiltonian is formally given by $(d-i\mathbf{a})^*(d-i\mathbf{a})$. This always works locally. The use of local gauge transformations allows to globalize the construction if **b** is not exact:

Take a cover $\{U_j\}$ of M such that there exist real one forms $\mathbf{a}_j$ on U_j and functions f_{jk} on $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k$ with $\mathbf{b} = d\mathbf{a}_j$ on U_j, $\mathbf{a}_j - \mathbf{a}_k = df_{jk}$ on $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k$. Wavefunctions φ_j, φ_k are gauge transformed in $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k$ by $c_{jk} := \exp(if_{jk})$ i.e.: $\varphi_j = c_{jk}\varphi_k$. This is sensible if the cocycle conditions $c_{jk}c_{kl} = c_{jl}$ can be satisfied on $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k \leftrightarrow U_l$.

The geometrical object which formalizes this idea is a hermitian line bundle with connection whose curvature is **b** [W-Y]. The questions of existence and uniqueness were studied by [S], [W], [K] and imply quantization conditions on the physical system. Let us fix notation.

In the following all mappings and all manifolds are supposed to be infinitely differentiable. Let M be a manifold and $\pi : b \varnothing M$ a vector bundle with fibre C; $T_C M$ ($T^*_C M$) the complexified (dual) tangent bundle of M; S(b) the $C^\infty(M, C)$ module of sections.

If there is a hermitian structure $< \cdot , \cdot >$ on b and a compatible connection ∇, *(b, ∇, < · , ·>)* is called hermitian line bundle with connection (HLBC).
Two HLBsC $(b, \nabla, < \cdot , \cdot >)$, $(b', \nabla', < \cdot , \cdot >')$ with the same base M are called equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $h: b \varnothing b'$ with $\pi' h = \pi$ such that for $m \in M$ the induced mappings $h_m : \pi^{-1}(m) \varnothing \pi^{-1}(m)$ are linear isomorphisms, $\nabla'_X\, h \circ s = h \circ \nabla_X s$ $(X \in S(T_C M), s \in S(b))$ and for $b \in b$: $<h(b), h(b)> = <b, b>$.

Two connections ∇, ∇' on a HLB (b, < · , ·>) are called equivalent if (b, ∇, < · , ·>) is equivalent to (b, ∇', < · , ·>) .
The curvature **b** of ∇ is the two form $\mathbf{b}(X, Y)s := i(\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]})s$, $(Y, X \in S(T_C M), s \in S(b))$.

b is called integral if $\mathbf{b}/2\pi$ is equivalent to an element of the cohomology with integer coefficients; for compact M this is the case iff the integral of $\mathbf{b}/2\pi$ overa singular 2- cycle has an integer value. For such a field it is possible to construct a HLBC over M whose curvature is **b**:

Theorem 1. *Consider a manifold M and a two form **b** on M. A HLBC with curvature **b** exists iff **b** is real, closed, and integral .*

Proof. The cohomology theories of Čech and de Rham and their equivalence are used; the reader might consult [W], [G], [B-T] for this machinery, [W], [K], [Wo] for the proof. ❑

Remark. Moreover the following result is well known: The group of equivalence classes of complex line bundles over a manifold M is isomorphic to $H^2(M, Z)$; the isomorphism is given by the first Chern class. If in addition there is a hermitian structure and a connection on the LB, its curvature is the natural image of this Chern class in $H^2(M, R)$. Note that the first Chern class (as an element of $H^2(M, Z)$) is determined by the curvature only up to torsion elements.

With the question of existence of a HLBC with curvature **b** settled the first step towards the construction of the Hilbert space of states for a quantum mechanical particle on the manifold M in the magnetic field **b** is accomplished. Now we adress two questions of uniqueness:

Given a manifold M and a real closed integral two form **b**; firstly: how many non equivalent possibilities do we have to construct a HLBC with curvature **b**; secondly: given a HLB which admits a connection with curvature **b**, what is the classification of the non equivalent connections with curvature **b** on this HLB? We should like to stress that the two questions coincide if the Chern class is uniquely determined by the curvature **b**.

The answer may be stated in the language of cohomology theory [Wo]. Denote by $H^k(M, G)$ the singular cohomology with coefficients in G [G]. Then it holds:

Theorem 2. *Given a manifold M and a real closed integral two form **b**. The set of equivalence classes of hermitian line bundles with connection and curvature **b** is in bijection with $H^1(M, S^1)$.*

Theorem 3. *Given a HLB over M and a real closed integral two form **b**. The set of equivalence classes of connections with curvature **b** is in bijection with $H^1(M, R)/H^1(M, Z)$.*

Remarks. • From the Aharonov-Bohm effect [A-B] one knows for a particle in R^3\(cylinder): if one adds a vector potential to d-i**a**, physics remains unchanged iff the derivative of the added potential is zero in the configuration space and its flux through the cylinder is an integral multiple of 2π. In this sense we may regard Theorem 3 as a description of a generalized Aharonov-Bohm effect.

• $H^1(M, S^1)$ and $H^1(M, R)/H^1(M, Z)$ are not isomorphic in general as one learns from the example $M = RP^3$: the exactness of

$$1 \varnothing Z \varnothing R \overset{\exp(i\ .)}{\rightarrow} S^1 \varnothing 1$$

entails the exactness of

$$0 \varnothing H^1(M, Z) \varnothing H^1(M, R) \varnothing H^1(M, S^1) \varnothing H^2(M, Z) \varnothing H^2(M, R) \varnothing ..\ .$$

As an example we consider RP^3 (which is not simply connected). It is known [B-T] that $H^2(RP^3, Z) = Z_2$ and $H^2(RP^3, R) = 0$. It follows that $H^1(RP^3, R) \varnothing H^1(RP^3, S^1)$ is not surjective.

• if M is a closed surface in R^3 the integrality condition implies quantization of the magnetic flux through M. For the special case $M = S^2$, and **b** = const this is Dirac's famous result on the quantization of the magnetic monopole [D]. ❑

Given an integral magnetic field **b** on M the Hamiltonian H of the system is by definition the covariant Laplacian on a HLBC $(b, \nabla, < \cdot, \cdot >)$ with curvature **b**. This is constructed as follows:

If M is oriented, the Riemannian metric $(\cdot\,,\cdot)$ and the volume form ω induce the Hilbert spaces $(L^2(b), <\,,\,>_b)$ and $(L^2(T^*_{C}M \otimes b), <\,,\,>_{\otimes})$. ∇, its formal adjoint ∇^* and the Bochner- Laplacian $\nabla^*\nabla$ are defined on the smooth sections with compact support. $\nabla^*\nabla$ is then symmetric and positive.

For an oriented Riemannian manifold M, a closed real integral two form **b**, and a HLBC $(b, \nabla, <\cdot\,,\cdot>)$ with curvature **b** we make the

Definition. *The Hamiltonian of a particle on the configuration space M in an integral magnetic field* ***b*** *is the Friedrichs extension of the Bochner-Laplacian* $\nabla^*\nabla$ *.*

Remarks. • If M is complete, $\nabla^*\nabla$ is essentially selfadjoint by generalization of a result of [Ch] for the flat Laplacian. Compact Riemannian manifolds are complete;

• an equivalence of HLBsC defines a unitary mapping $U : L^2(b) \varnothing L^2(b')$ with $UHU^{-1} = H'$. If $H^1(M, S^1)$ is non trivial, a HLBC is not uniquely (up to equivalence) determined by the magnetic field **b**. Therefore the Hamiltonian H (and physics) is not unique (up to unitary equivalence). Note that this non uniqueness has nothing to do with domain questions of H (as an operator on sections of b).

• if $M = R^n$ every closed **b** is integral and for **a** with d**a** = **b**, H is represented by $(d-i\mathbf{a})^*(d-i\mathbf{a})$.

2. Schrödinger particle on the torus in a magnetic field

From now on we specify M as the two torus (a manifold with non trivial $H^1(M, S^1)$). Let Γ 1 R^2 be the lattice given by integral combinations of linearly independent vectors e_1, e_2. We consider a particle on the torus $M := R^2/\Gamma$; M is a compact, therefore complete, oriented manifold with the natural induced Riemannian structure. Let furthermore a magnetic field be given by a closed integral two form **b** on M.

Next we shall show that any Hamiltonian arising as a Bochner-Laplacian is unitarily equivalent to a selfadjoint realization of $-(\partial_{x_1} - i\, a_{x_1})^2 - (\partial_{x_2} - i\, a_{x_2})^2$

in L^2(unit cell) with appropriate boundary conditions .This representation is widely used but we are not aware of a reference.

M may be represented as a rectangle with opposite sides identified; this we represent for the sake of notation as the image of the unit square (with opposite sides identified) under a map E:



Fig. 1: The map E.

Let $\{V_j := EU_j\}_{j\in\{1,\ldots,4\}}$ be the contractible cover of M defined by



Fig. 2: A contractible cover.

Here the drawn lines represent the points in $M\backslash V_j$.

Let $\{(V_j, \psi_j, \mathbf{a}_j)\}$ be a trivialization of $(b, \nabla, <\cdot\,,\cdot>)$ with transition functions c_{jk} and the ψ_j be chosen normalized, e.g.: $<\psi_j,\psi_j>$

$\equiv 1$ in V_j. A section $\sigma \in S(b)$ is determined by a function $\varphi_1 \in C^\infty(V_1)$ via $\sigma(x) = \psi_1(x, \varphi_1(x))$, $(x \in V_1)$; the following holds:

Propositon 4. *Given $(b, \nabla, <\cdot\,,\cdot>)$ over M.*

(i) The operation

$$\sigma \varnothing \varphi_1 \qquad\qquad (\sigma \in S(b))$$

has a unique continuation to a unitary

$$U : L^2(b) \varnothing L^2(V_1)\ .$$

(ii) Define the euclidean components of $\mathbf{a}_1$ as a_{x_1}, a_{x_2}. *and h the closure of the essentially selfadjoint operator*

$$- (\partial_{x_1} - i\, a_{x_1})^2 - (\partial_{x_2} - i\, a_{x_2})^2$$

defined on functions in $C^{\infty}(V_1) \leftrightarrow C^2(\overline{V_1})$ *which satisfy the boundary conditions*

$$(\partial_n - ia(n))^{\alpha}\, \varphi(E(1, q)) = c_{12}(E(1, q))\, c_{21}(E(0, q))(\partial_n - ia(n))^{\alpha}\, \varphi(E(0, q))$$

$$(\partial_n - ia(n))^{\alpha} \varphi(E(p, 1)) = c_{13}(E(p, 1))c_{31}(E(p, 0))(\partial_n - ia(n))^{\alpha} \varphi(E(p, 0))$$

for $\alpha\, [\, \{0, 1\},\ p, q\, [\, [0, 1];$

where n denotes the normal vectorfield on the boundary of V , ∂_n *the normal derivative.*

Then it holds for the Hamiltonian H (the Bochner Laplacian):

$$UHU^{-1} = h\ .$$

Proof. The boundary conditions follow from

$$\sigma(x) = \psi_2(x, \varphi_2(x)) = \psi_1(x, \varphi_1(x)) \quad (x \in V_1 \leftrightarrow V_2)\ .$$

$$c_{21}\varphi_1(E(1, q)) = \varphi_2(E(1, q)) = \varphi_2(E(0, q)) = c_{21}\varphi_1(E(0, q))$$

and the periodicity of $\mathbf{a}_2$ in the p-variable. ❑

Remark. Changing the gauge of $(b, \nabla, < \cdot\ , \cdot >)$ (which means: changing ψ_j and and $\mathbf{a}_j$) leads to an operator on $L^2(V_1)$ which is unitarily equivalent to h. The passage to an equivalent $(b', \nabla', < \cdot\ , \cdot >')$ has the same effect .

3. Bloch analysis; Summation over all Connections

Consider a smooth real valued function B on R^2 which is periodic on the lattice Γ, the two-form $\mathbf{b} := B\, dx_1 3 dx_2$ which is induced by the natural volume and a vector potential $\mathbf{a}$ with d$\mathbf{a}$ = $\mathbf{b}$.Then the Bochner Laplacian on the trivial bundle $R^2 \infty C$ with connection and curvature $\mathbf{b}$ is equivalent to the closure H of the essentially selfadjoint operator $\sum_j (D_{x_j} - a_{x_j})^2$ on $C_0^{\infty}(R^2)$ ($D_{x_j} := -i\, \partial_{x_j}$).

We now present our main result. We shall show that H may be represented as summation of Bochner Laplacians over the family of inequivalent HLBsC and curvature **b** over the Torus R^2/Γ; or, equivalently, over all connections with curvature **b** on a fixed HLB.

To do this, we employ ideas from Bloch analysis and the theory developed in sections 1, 2.

By Bloch analysis we mean the reduction of H to the eigenspaces of the abelian group of magnetic translations which commutes with H. This group was introduced by Zak [Z] (for the case B = const) . It is defined as follows:

Denote the fundamental cell of Γ by

$$C := \left\{ x \in R^2;\ x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = pe_1 + qe_2 \ \ (p, q \in [0, 1)) \right\} = E\,([0, 1)^2),$$

divide the function B in its constant and oscillating parts $B = B_c + B_{osc}$ where $B_c := \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}C}\int_C \mathbf{b}$, split $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_c + \mathbf{b}_{osc}$ in the obvious way, and choose the gauge $\mathbf{a} := \mathbf{a}_c + \mathbf{a}_{osc}$ with $\mathbf{a}_c(x_1, x_2) := B_c/2\,(x_1dx_2 - x_2dx_1)$, $\mathbf{a}_{osc}(x + m) = \mathbf{a}_{osc}(x)$, $d\mathbf{a}_{osc} = \mathbf{b}_{osc}$. Then T(m) is defined for $m \in \Gamma$ as an operator on $L^2(R^2)$ by

$$T(m)\,\psi(x) := e^{-ia_c(x)(m)}\,\psi(x - m) \qquad \psi \in C_0^\infty(R^2)$$

(where m as usual is identified with the constant vector field m(x) = m).

These magnetic translations fulfill the Weyl relations:

$$T(m)\,T(”) = e^{-ib_c/2(m,”)}\,T(m + ”) \quad (m, ” \in \Gamma);$$

of course: $\mathbf{b}_c\,(m,l) = B_c(m_1l_2 - m_2l_1)$.

For $m \in \Gamma$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^2)$ it holds:

$$[T(m), (\partial_{x_1} - i\,a_{x_1})]\ \psi(x)$$

$$= i\,e^{-ia_c(x)(m)}\,\psi(x\text{-}m)\,(\,a_{x_1}(x) - a_{x_1}(x\text{-}m) + \partial_{x_1}a_c(x)(m))$$

$$= i\,e^{-ia_c(x)(m)}\,\psi(x\text{-}m)\,(\,a_{cx_1}(x) - a_{cx_1}(x\text{-}m) + \partial_{x_1}a_c(x)(m))$$

$$= 0\ ,$$

$$[T(m), (\partial_{x_2} - i\, a_{x_2})] = 0.$$

It follows:

$$[T(m), H] = 0 \;\text{ on }\; C_0^\infty(R^2)\,.$$

Remark. $T(m) = e^{-i(m,\, D+a_c)}$ is the magnetic translation generated by

$D + a_c$. The oscillating part of **a** plays no role.

From now on we shall assume that the magnetic flux is quantized:

$$\Phi := B_c \; 6 \; \mathrm{vol}C \in 2\pi Z\;;$$

the group is then abelian and it is natural to split $L^2(R^2)$ into the Eigenspaces of $\{T(m)\}$:

For $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^2)$, $k \in R^2$, $x \in R^2$ we define (with $km = k_1 m_1 + k_2 m_2$):

$$U\psi(k, x) := \sum_{m\in\Gamma} e^{-ikm} e^{-im_1 m_2 \Phi/2}\; T(m)\, \psi(x)\;;$$

it holds for $m \in \Gamma$, $k \in R^2$:

$$T(m)\, U\psi(k, x) = e^{+im_1 m_2 \Phi/2} e^{ikm}\, U\psi(k, x)\,.$$

So $U\psi$ is determined by its values on $R^2/\Gamma^* \infty C$ (Γ^* denotes the 2π dual lattice of Γ).We will regard $U\psi$ as a function on this domain.

We then have

Theorem 5. U extends to an isomorphism

$$U : L^2(R^2) \varnothing \int_{\oplus R^2/\Gamma^*} L^2(C)\, \frac{dk^2}{|R^2/\Gamma^*|}\;.$$

Proof. For $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^2)$ the function

$$\tilde{\psi}(x, m) := e^{-ia_c(x)(m)}\, e^{-im_1 m_2 \Phi/2}\, \psi(x - m)$$

is in $”^2(\Gamma)$ for $x \in C$, and so by Plancherel's theorem:

$$\int_{\oplus \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*} \frac{dk^2}{|\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*|} |U\psi(k,x)|^2 = \|\tilde{\psi}(x,\cdot)\|^2_{\ell^2(\Gamma)} .$$

Now $$\|U\psi\|^2_{\oplus} = \int_C dx^2 \|\tilde{\psi}(x,\cdot)\|^2_{\ell^2} = \sum_{m\in\Gamma} \int_C |\psi(x-m)|^2 = \|\psi\|^2$$

this proves injectivity.

Now we give explicitly the adjoint U^* : For $\varphi \in \int^{\otimes} L^2(C)\, dk^2$ define

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x,m) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*} e^{ikm}\, e^{-ia_c(x)(m)}\, e^{-im_1 m_2 \Phi/2}\, \varphi(k,x) \frac{dk^2}{|\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*|} \qquad (x \in C,\ m \in \Gamma)$$

and

$$U^* \varphi(x) := \tilde{\varphi}(x - [x], - [x]) \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R}^2)$$

($[x]$ denotes the integral part of x with respect to the basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$) .

Then $U^*\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $(U^*\varphi, \psi)_{L^2} = (\varphi, U\psi)_{\oplus}$. ❑

In order to describe the corresponding decomposition of H we introduce for $k \in \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*$ the operator

$$H(k) := \sum_j (D_{x_j} - a_{x_j})^2$$

defined on the core

$$B(H(k)) = \{\varphi \in C^\infty(C) \leftrightarrow C^2(\overline{C});\ [(-i\partial_n - \mathbf{a}(n))]^\alpha \varphi(x-m)$$

$$= e^{ikm}\, e^{-ia_c(x)(m)}\, e^{im_1 m_2 \Phi/2}\, [(-i\partial_n - \mathbf{a}(n))]^\alpha \varphi(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow\ [(-i\partial_n - \mathbf{a}(n))]^\alpha T(m)\varphi = e^{ikm}\, e^{im_1 m_2 \Phi/2} [(-i\partial_n - \mathbf{a}(n))]^\alpha \varphi$$

for $m = \langle m_1, m_2\rangle \in \Gamma$, $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$, $x \in \partial C$ s.t. $x + m \in \partial C\}$.

Then it holds:

Theorem 6. $$UHU^{-1} = \int_{\oplus \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*} H(k) \frac{dk^2}{|\mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma^*|}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show the equality

$$UH = \int_{\oplus} H(k) \frac{dk^2}{|R^2/\Gamma^*|} U$$

on the core $C_0^\infty(R^2)$ of H.

Firstly we remark that for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^2)$ it holds that $U\psi \in \int_{\oplus} B(H(k))\, dk^2$.

We have already verified that $U\psi(k, \cdot\,)$ is an eigenfunction of the group $\{T(m)\}$ so the required boundary conditions hold for $U\psi$; the fact that $\{T(m)\}$ commutes with (-id-**a**) implies their validity for the covariant derivatives. Secondly as H(k) commutes with $\{T(m)\}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\oplus} H(k)\, dk^2\, U\psi &= \int_{\oplus} \left(\sum_j (D_j - a_j)^2 \sum_m e^{ikm} e^{i\Phi m_1 m_2/2} T(m)\psi\right) dk^2 \\ &= \int_{\oplus} \left(\sum_m e^{ikm} e^{i\Phi m_1 m_2/2} T(m) \sum_j (D_j - a_j)^2 \psi\right) dk^2 \\ &= UH\psi \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

The Bloch analysis may be regarded from a geometrical point of view; this is based on the observation that for $k \in R^2/\Gamma^*$ there exists a HLBC over the torus R^2/Γ such that H(k) is unitarily equivalent to its Bochner Laplacian. We shall construct such a HLBC for k = 0, after that we shall prove the assertion for all k.

Choose a HLBC which is defined by the following data in the p,q coordinates (we give only the parts which are relevant for our purpose):

$\mathbf{a}_1 := \mathbf{a}_c + \mathbf{a}_{osc}$, $\quad E^*\mathbf{a}_2(p, q) := -\Phi\, q\, dp + E^*\mathbf{a}_{osc}$,

$E^*\mathbf{a}_3(p,q) := \Phi\, p\, dq + E^*\mathbf{a}_{osc}$; with $E^*\mathbf{a}_1(p, q) := -\Phi/2\, (p\, dq - q\, dp)$:

$c_{12}(E(p, q)) := e^{i\,\Phi/2\, pq}$, $\quad c_{13}(E(p, q)) := e^{-i\,\Phi/2\, pq}$.

By Proposition 4 the Bochner Laplacian on this HLBC is unitarily equivalent to the closure of $\sum_j (D_{x_j} - a_{x_j})^2$ defined on the $C^\infty(V_1) \leftrightarrow C^2(\overline{V_1})$ functions which satisfy the boundary conditions:

$(\partial_n - ia(n))^\alpha \varphi(E((0, q) + (1, q)))$

$$= c_{12}(E(1, q))\, c_{21}(E(0, q))(\partial_n - ia(n))^\alpha \varphi(E(0, q))$$

$$= \exp(i\, \Phi/2\, q)\, (\partial_n - ia(n))^\alpha \varphi(E(0, q))$$

$(\partial_n - ia(n))^\alpha \varphi(E((p, 0) + (0, 1)))$

$$= \exp(-i\, \Phi/2\, p)(\partial_n - ia(n))^\alpha \varphi(E(p, 0))$$

for $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$, $p, q \in [0, 1]$;

but this operator is H(0)!

So for the connection on this bundle it holds:

$$\nabla^* \nabla \cong H(0)\ .$$

For general k we have

Theorem 7. *For $k \in R^2/\Gamma^*$ there exists one and only one (equivalence class of) HLBsC such that*

$$\nabla^* \nabla \cong H(k)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2 the set of all (equivalence classes of) HLBsC is isomorphic to $H^1(R^2/\Gamma, S^1)$. We now construct an explicit bijection of this space to R^2/Γ^* , which makes the role of the boundary conditions transparent.

Denote by $H^k(\{U_j\}, G)$ the k-th Čech cohomology group relative to $\{U_j\}$ with coefficients in the locally constant functions with values in the abelian group G We make use of the fact that $H^k(\{U_j\}, G)$ is isomorphic to $H^k(M, G)$, the singular cohomology with coefficients in G [G]. For the machinery the reader might refer to [W], [G], [B-T].

An element $f \in H^0(R^2/\Gamma, S^1) \cong H^0(\{U_j\}, S^1)$ is characterized by

$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$$

where f_j are constant functions on U_j .

An element $f \in H^1(R^2/\Gamma, S^1) \cong H^1(\{U_j\}, S^1)$ is characterized by

$$f = (f_{12}, f_{13}, f_{14}, f_{23}, f_{24}, f_{34})$$

where f_{jk} are locally constant functions on $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k$ with $f_{jk}\, f_{kl}\, f_{lj} = 1$ on $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k \leftrightarrow U_l$. They are determined by their values on the connected components of $U_j \leftrightarrow U_k$.

These regions can be visualized as follows (c.f. the graphic in section 2):



U_{12} U_{13} $U_{14} = U_{23}$ U_{24} U_{34}

Fig. 3: Intersections of the cover.

For example f_{14} is determined by the tuple $(ur, u”, d”, dr)_{14} \in (S^1)^4$.

The coboundary operator

$$\delta : H^0\,(\{U_j\}, S^1) \varnothing H^1(\{U_j\}, S^1)$$

is defined by

$$\delta((f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4))_{jk} = f_j\, f_k^{-1}\,.$$

We claim that f may be represented in the following way:

$$f = ((”, r)_{12}, (u, d)_{13}, (ur, u”, d”, dr)_{14}, \ldots)$$

$$= ((\alpha, 1), (\beta, 1), (\beta, \beta\alpha, \alpha, 1), (\beta, \beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}, 1), (\beta, 1), (\alpha, 1)) \qquad (1)$$

$$\cdot\, \delta\,((1, \gamma, \eta, \zeta))$$

with

$\alpha := ”_{12}r_{21}$, $\beta := u_{13}d_{31}$, $\gamma := r_{21}$, $\eta := d_{31}$, $\zeta := dr_{41}$

(where for example dr_{41} is the inverse of the value of f_{14} in the lower right component of U_{14}).

In order to indicate how this is derived, we check this identity on f_{23} .

$$\delta\, ((1, \gamma, \eta, \zeta))_{23} = \gamma\eta^{-1}(1,1,1,1) = dr_{23}(1,1,1,1).$$

Using the cocycle coditions one gets

$$(\beta, \beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}, 1)\, \delta\, ((1, \gamma, \eta, \zeta))_{23}$$

$$= (u_{13}d_{31}dr_{23}, u_{13}d_{31}l_{21}r_{12}dr_{23}, l_{21}r_{12}dr_{23}, dr_{23})$$

$$= (u_{13}r_{21}, u_{13}d_{31}l_{21}d_{13}, l_{21}d_{13}, dr_{23})$$

$$= (ur_{23}, ul_{23}, dl_{23}, dr_{23}) = f_{23}.$$

Define for $\alpha,\beta \in S^1$ the $H^1(R^2/\Gamma, S^1)$ element

$$f(\alpha,\beta) := ((\alpha,1),(\beta,1),(\beta, \beta\alpha, \alpha,1),(\beta, \beta\alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1},1),(\beta,1),(\alpha,1)).$$

Using (1) one obtains: The map

$$R^2/\Gamma^* \ \varnothing\ H^1(R^2/\Gamma, S^1)$$

$$k\ \varnothing\ f(e^{-ike_1}, e^{ike_2})$$

is bijective.

From the description of the non-equivalent HLBsC given by Theorem 2 we can now conclude:

For every $k \in R^2/\Gamma^*$ there exists a unique (equivalence classes of) HLBsC determined by the data:

$$(c_{ij}(k), \mathbf{a}_j) := (f_{ij}(e^{-ike_1}, e^{ike_2})\, c_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_j)$$

where $(c_{ij}, \mathbf{a}_j)$ are the data chosen above.

Fix now k in R^2/Γ^*. From the structure of $f(\alpha,\beta)$ and an argumentation analogous to the one made above for the k = 0 case, one sees that $\nabla^*\nabla$ on the HLBC determined by k is unitarily equivalent to $\angle_j (D_{x_j} - a_{x_j})^2$ with the jump conditions: $e^{ike_1}e^{i\,\Phi/2\,q}$ in the e_1 direction and $e^{ike_2}e^{-i\,\Phi/2\,p}$ in the e_2 direction. This operator is H(k).

We now give an interpretation of Bloch analysis in geometric language:

Corollary 9. *Given a real closed two-form* ***b*** *on* R^2 *which projects to an integral two-form* $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$ *on* R^2/Γ.

Then the direct integral of the Bochner Laplacians over all non-equivalent HLBC over the torus with curvature $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$ *is unitarily equivalent to the unique Bochner Laplacian on the HLBC with curvature* ***b*** *on its universal cover.*

For the Torus one may adopt a slightly different point of view:

Fix a HLB so that a connection with curvature **b** exists (i.e.: the chern class of the bundle equals the (cohomology class of) **b**). By Theorem 3 the set of all (equivalence classes of) connections is $H^1(R^2/\Gamma, R)/H^1(R^2/\Gamma, Z)$. By considerations analogous to those which led to Theorem 7 one obtains:

$$R^2/\Gamma^* \cong H^1(R^2/\Gamma, R)/H^1(R^2/\Gamma, Z) \cong H^1(R^2/\Gamma, S^1)$$

and for every k in R^2/Γ^* there is exactly one connection (up to equivalence) with $\nabla^*\nabla \cong H(k)$.

So we have:

Given a real closed two-form ***b*** *on* R^2 *which projects to an integral two-form* $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$ *on* R^2/Γ.

Then the direct integral of the Bochner Laplacians over all non-equivalent connections on a suitable HLB over the torus with curvature $\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}$ *is unitarily equivalent to the unique Bochner Laplacian on the HLBC with curvature* ***b*** *on its universal cover.*

Again we remark that for manifolds with torsion like RP^3 these two points of view are not equivalent.

To summarize the content of section 3:

We carried out a Bloch analysis for particles in a periodic magnetic field and gave a geometric reinterpretation in term of a sum over all connections.

Acknowledgement. We should like to thank A.Knauf and T. Friedrich for several useful remarks, D. Ferus for pointing out an error in a preliminary version.

References

[A] O. Alvarez, Topological Quantization and Cohomology, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100** (1985), 279 - 309.

[A-B] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory, *Phys. Rev.* **115** (1959), 458.

[A-S-Y] J.E. Avron and R.Seiler and L.G.Yaffe, Adiabatic Theorems and Applications to the Quantum Hall Effect, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987), 33.

[A-K-P-S] Avron, J.E., Klein, M., Pnueli, A., Sadun, L., Hall conductance and adiabatic charge transport of leaky tori, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**(1) (1992), 128-131.

[AdPW] S. Axelrod, S. Della Pietra, E. Witten, Geometric quantization of Chern-Simons Gauge Theory, *J. of Diff. Geometry* **33** (1991), 787-902.

[B-T] R.Bott and L.W.Tu, Differential Forms in Algebraic Geometry, New York (1982).

[Ch] P.R.Chernoff, Essential Self - Adjointness of Powers of Generators of Hyperbolic Equations, *J. of Func. Anal.* **12** (1973), 401.

[D] P.A.M. Dirac, Quantised Singularities in the Elektromagnetic Field, *Proc. R. Soc. London* **A133** (1931), 60.

[G] R.Godement, Topologie algebrique et theorie des faisceaux, Paris (1964).

[K] B.Kostant, Quantization and Unitary Representations, Lect. Notes in Math. Vol. 170, Berlin (1970).

[Ku] R. Kuwabara, Spectrum of the Laplacian on vector bundles over $C_{2\pi}$ manifolds, *J. of Diff Geometry* **27** (1988), 241 - 258.

[TKNN] D.J.Thouless, M.P.Kohmoto, M.P.Nightingale, M.den Nijs, Quantized Hall conductance in a two dimensional periodic potential *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (1982), 405.

[S] N.Steenrod, Topology of fibre bundles, Princeton (1951).

[W] A.Weil, Sur les theoremes de de Rham *Comm. Math. Helv.* **26** (1952), 119.

[Wo] N.Woodhouse, Geometric Quantization, Oxford (1980).

[W-Y] T.T.Wu and C.N.Yang, Concept of nonintegrable phase factors and global formulation of gauge fields, *Phys. Rev. D* **12** (1975), 3845.

[Z] J.Zak, Dynamics of Electrons in Solids in External Fields *Phys.Rev.* **168** (1968), 686.